CITY OF MIAMI BEACH

Office of the City Manager Letter to Commission No. 064-2005



Date: March 1, 2005

To:

Mayor David Dermer and

Members of the City Commission

From:

Jorge M. Gonzalez

City Manager

Subject:

MIAMI BEACH RÉAL ESTATE

The purpose of this LTC is to provide the Mayor and City Commission with the attached press release by Coldwell Banker regarding their luxury home sales for 2004.

The following Miami Beach statistics are in the release:

- The fifth-highest closed sales price by Coldwell Banker in 2004 was a \$19.8 million property in Miami Beach. It was the only property sold outside of California in the top 20.
- Miami Beach had the fourth largest increase in total sales volume (138%) from 2003 to 2004.
- In 2004, Miami Beach was ranked 15th nationwide in total sales volume, climbing 23 spots from number 38 in 2003. Total sales volume was \$317 million.

In addition to the statistics above provided by Coldwell Banker, the following chart summarizes total sales volume and average sale price for single family homes and condominiums in Miami Beach. This information is based on the transactions that were recorded in Miami-Dade County property records.

	Total Sale	s Volume	Average Sale Price		
	2003	2004	2003	2004	
Single Family	\$315M	\$515M	\$930K	\$1.39M	
Condominium	\$762M	\$1.1B	\$267K	\$322K	

Please let me know if you have any questions or comments, or would like any additional information on this subject.



c: Tim Hemstreet, City Manager Kevin Crowder, Economic Development Division Director

F:\DDHP\\$ALL\KEVIN\Commission Items\LTCs\coldwell banker Itc.doc

COLDWELL BANKER® U.S. LUXURY HOME SALES CLIMB TO A RECORD \$35.5 BILLION IN 2004

- Coldwell Banker® System Reports a 52 Percent Increase in Luxury Sales
- Report Highlights Nation's Hottest Luxury Home Markets and Prices

PARSIPPANY, N.J. (February 24, 2005) Coldwell Banker, a leader in luxury home sales, today announced its 2004 sales of U.S. luxury homes valued at \$1 million or more surged to an all-time high of \$35.5 billion, surpassing its previous record of \$23.3 billion set in 2003. Coldwell Banker affiliated companies nationwide participated in the sales of 20,292 homes in 2004, a 47 percent increase over 2003 results. The average price for Coldwell Banker luxury homes sold in 2004 also rose to a record \$1.7 million.

"The luxury real estate market continues to thrive," said Jim Gillespie, president and chief executive officer of Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation. "expect the luxury home sales market to remain solid throughout 2005. Demand continues to be strong, spurred by a rebounding economy and high demand from baby boomers who have more disposable income than any other previous generation, and continued attractive interest rates." In this release, Coldwell Banker highlights its top luxury home markets by state and city, and includes a list of its top 50 transactions nationwide. The data provided represents only Coldwell Banker luxury home sales figures. Highlights from the 2004 Coldwell Banker Luxury Home Sales Report include:

California Continues "old Rush" of Luxury Home Sales:

- In California, Coldwell Banker reported nearly seven times more sales of luxury homes than any other state with almost \$20 billion in sales volume.
- Coldwell Banker reported 56 percent of its luxury sales volume was in California.
- The top 13 cities with the highest total Coldwell Banker sales volume were all in California.
- Los Angeles had the highest Coldwell Banker sales volume in the United States.
- A \$30 million home in Beverly Hills was the largest closed transaction by a U.S. Coldwell Banker sales associate in 2004.

Elsewhere:

- Florida was second in the nation with \$3 billion in Coldwell Banker luxury home sales volume.
- Massachusetts, Illinois, Connecticut and New Jersey joined California and Florida as the only states to produce more than \$1 billion in Coldwell Banker luxury home sales volume.
- The fifth-highest closed sales price by a Coldwell Banker sales associate in 2004 was a \$19.8 million property in Miami Beach, Fla. It was the only property sold outside of California in the top 20.

Hot Markets:

- In 2004, Nevada (172 percent increase), Idaho (143 percent) and Pennsylvania (129 percent
 increase) had the highest percentage increases in luxury home sales volume. All three states
 also closed more than double the amount of Coldwell Banker luxury transactions than in 2003.
- According to Coldwell Banker figures, the top five hottest cities in luxury home sales according to total sales volume increases from 2003 to 2004 are:

Irvine rose 57 spots, the largest jump in the report.

- 2. Longboat Key, Fla., increased 150 percent and is now ranked 39th in total sales volume. Longboat Key climbed 30 spots.
- 3. Laguna Beach, Calif., climbed 139 percent and is now ranked sixth in total sales volume. Laguna Beach rose 11 spots.
- 4. Miami Beach, Fla., increased 138 percent and is now ranked 15th in total sales volume. Miami Beach climbed 23 spots.
- 5. Burlingame, Calif., rose 135 percent and is now ranked 60th in total sales volume. Burlingame rose 35 spots.

2004 Luxury Market Overview:

- Coldwell Banker reported that it sold 19,859 luxury homes priced between \$1 million and less than \$5 million. This was the most active segment.
- The company also reported sales of more than 364 homes in the \$5 million to less than \$10 million segment.
- There were 68 transactions between \$10 million and less than \$30 llion, and one home sale for \$30 million in 2004.
- The average sales price of Coldwell Banker homes sold valued at \$1 million and up was \$ 1,748,550 in 2004.

TOP 30 STATES WITH THE MOST COLDWELL BANKER LUXURY HOME SALES BY DOLLAR VOLUME JANUARY 1 tp DECEMBER 31, 2004 Source: Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation

State	2004 Rank	2004 Total Sales Volume	2003 Rank	2003 Total Sales Volume	% Change
California	1	\$19,958,968,283	1	\$12,624,537,202	58%
Florida	2	\$3,037,526,890	2	\$2,008,970,243	51 %
Massachusetts	3	\$1,997,908,899	3	\$1,362,212,903	47 %
Illinois	4	\$1,320,085,874	4	\$990,207,302	33 %
Connecticut	5	\$1,315,635,586	6	\$839,105,004	57 %
New Jersey	6	\$1,241,335,774	5	\$928,679,672	34 %
New York	7	\$865,705,111	7	\$590,013,162	47 %
Washington	8	\$684,680,735	9	\$452,174,738	51 %
Hawaii	9	\$646,087,234	8	\$469,544,650	38 %
Arizona	10	\$488,318,097	11	\$353,281,162	35 %

Vinnesota	11	\$461,968,227	10	\$383,829,356	20 %
Maryland	12	\$461,144,933	14	\$281,293,066	64 %
Colorado	13	\$458,015,284	12	\$328,691,851	39 %
Texas	14	\$342,679,766	13	\$295,643,904	16 %
Washington, D.C.	15	\$305,380,626	15	\$165,092,361	85 %
Nevada	16	\$269,083,302	19	\$98,865,413	172 %
√irginia	17	\$241,875,526	16	\$151,490,855	60 %
Georgia	18	\$203,673,506	17	\$148,937,252	37 %
North Carolina	19	\$128,428,854	21	\$66,146,882	94 %
Pennsylvania	20	\$122,781,424	25	\$53,556,245	129 %
Missouri	21	\$117,413,248	18	\$146,175,556	-20 %
Michigan	22	\$94,875,080	20	\$91,234,897	4 %
Utah	23	\$76,319,866	24	\$56,732,000	35 %
Wisconsin	24	\$71,306,500	26	\$51,302,025	39 %
South Carolina	25	\$68,673,031	28	\$38,403,750	79 %
Ohio	26	\$68,080,882	23	\$63,795,965	7 %
Oregon	27	\$67,343,510	22	\$64,997,589	4 %
New Hampshire	28	\$52,415,275	27	\$41,300,100	27 %
Montana	29	\$42,459,000	30	\$23,445,000	81 %
daho	30	\$32,207,500	35	\$13,270,000	143 %

.

TOP 75 CITIES WITH THE MOST COLDWELL BANKER LUXURY HOME SALES BY DOLLAR VOLUME JANUARY 1 DECEMBER 31, 2004

Source: Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation

State	2004 Rank	2004 Total Sales Volume	2003 Rank	2003 Total Sales Volume	% Change
CA	1	\$1,677,115,327	1	\$1,074,478,632	56 %
CA	2	\$1,015,602,005	2	629,165,505	61 %
CA	3	\$859,196,439	3	578,436,162	49 %
CA	4	\$821,168,950	5	434,603,408	89 %
CA	5	\$669,607,770	4	577,596,506	16 %
СА	6	\$485,251,829	17	202,875,000	139 %
CA	7	\$460,292,000	16	202,961,875	127 %
CA	8	\$440,591,357	6	380,958,340	14 %
CA	9	\$405,854,862	13	215,272,964	89 %
CA	10	\$399,851,703	7	344,115,528	16 %
CA	11	\$384,449,132	9	262,647,414	46 %
CA	12	\$379,779,485	8	316,882,213	20 %
CA	13	\$375,387,112	21	178,722,350	52 %
MA	14	\$339,552,317	18	195,506,902	74 %
FL	15	\$317,156,740	38	133,470,900	138 %
CA	16	\$315,983,621	11	230,662,100	37 %
	17	\$314,393,174	12	223,383,264	40 %
		\$305,380,626	25	165,092,361	85 %
			19	182,653,000	66 %
	-		10	261,851,600	9 %
Н	21	\$282,379,834		166,642,500	69 %
	CA C	CA 1 CA 2 CA 3 CA 4 CA 5 CA 6 CA 7 CA 8 CA 9 CA 10 CA 11 CA 11 CA 12 CA 12 CA 13 MA 14 FL 15 CA 16 CA 17 DC 18 CA 19 CA 19	State 2004 Rank Sales Volume CA	State 2004 Rank Sales Volume 2003 Rank CA	State 2004 Rank Sales Volume 2003 Rank Sales Volume CA

Westport	ст	22	\$276,719,388	22		172,362,750	59 %
Newport Coast	CA	23	\$273,362,844	30	\prod	153,445,230	78 %
Chicago	IL I	24	\$266,560,442	20	\prod	179,605,100	48 %
San Jose	CA	25	\$249,121,123	47		121,718,350	105 %
Carmel	CA	26	\$241,921,495	36	\prod	137,166,500	76 %
Calabasas	CA	27	\$228,962,697	42		129,752,568	76 %
Greenwich	ст	28	\$220,041,958	31	\prod	153,175,150	44 %
Corona Del Mar	CA	29	\$218,027,260	50	П	116,993,855	86 %
Wellesley	MA	30	\$215,175,880	35	\prod	146,411,375	47 %
Pasadena	CA	31	\$214,895,808	29		157,747,400	36 %
Fort Lauderdale	FL	32	\$206,909,907	26	\prod	161,760,200	28 %
Boca Raton	FL	33	\$197,009,351	15	Π	203,956,300	-3 %
Los Altos Hills	CA	34	\$196,328,072	32	\prod	150,813,670	30 %
San Diego	CA	35	\$184,715,270	54	\prod	103,661,400	78 %
Naples	FL	36	\$183,168,264	28	\prod	158,684,474	15 %
La Jolla	CA	37	\$183,095,068	40		131,524,250	39 %
Woodside	CA	38	\$180,494,000	14	\coprod	211,513,000	-15 %
Longboat Key	FL	39	\$176,049,422	69	П	70,515,500	150 %
Lake Forest	IL I	40	\$172,878,725	34		147,658,399	17 %
Scottsdale	AZ	41	\$168,171,371	48	\prod	121,702,545	38 %
New York	NY	42	\$165,161,540	52	П	112,058,800	47 %
Pebble Beach	CA	43	\$163,701,625	56		100,821,000	62 %
Miami	FL	44	\$161,944,115	41	\prod	131,017,935	24 %
Weston	MA	45	\$161,389,225	44	П	128,434,023	26 %
Paradise Valley	AZ	46	\$154,370,211	33	TT	150,731,500	2 %

IL		47	П	\$153,772,050	39		132,197,428	16 %
CA		48	Ц	\$150,805,750	43		129,497,444	16 %
WA		49		\$147,994,430	73		67,679,626	119 %
СА		50	\prod	\$141,547,542	107	Ш	44,926,125	215 %
CA		51	\prod	\$140,418,900	60	\prod	88,044,025	59 %
CA		52	\prod	\$136,908,913	55		100,838,401	36 %
МА		53	\prod	\$128,951,715	53	П	106,346,105	21 %
СА		54	П	\$127,805,950	59	\prod	88,619,320	44 %
СТ		55	\prod	\$126,125,100	82	\prod	58,386,108	116 %
СА		56	\prod	\$124,026,700	92	\prod	53,592,500	131 %
т×		57	\prod	\$122,649,105	27	П	160,189,437	-23 %
CA		58	Π	\$122,343,225	93	П	52,434,588	133 %
FL		59		\$121,874,750	57	\prod	100,699,500	21 %
CA		60		\$121,504,856	95		51,658,000	135 %
CA		61	Π	\$121,349,503	45		122,334,224	-1 %
CA		62	T	\$120,886,775	68	\prod	70,714,250	71 %
CA		63	 	\$119,197,835	23	П	170,199,032	-30 %
CA		64	Π	\$117,321,812	51	T	116,594,888	1 %
CA		65	T	\$114,565,235	37		133,849,725	-14 %
CA		66		\$ 113,647,794	61	П	84,009,250	35 %
CA	11	67	1	\$112,339,750	66		71,172,970	58 %
СА		68	_ 	\$112,039,391	88	Π	56,995,440	97 %
FL		69]	\$108,874,135	58		98,309,400	11 %
	CA WA CA CA CA CA CT CA	CA	CA	CA	CA	CA 48 \$150,805,750 43 WA 49 \$147,994,430 73 CA 50 \$141,547,542 107 CA 51 \$140,418,900 60 CA 52 \$136,908,913 55 MA 53 \$128,951,715 53 CA 54 \$127,805,950 59 CT 55 \$126,125,100 82 CA 56 \$124,026,700 92 TX 57 \$122,649,105 27 CA 58 \$122,343,225 93 FL 59 \$121,874,750 57 CA 60 \$121,504,856 95 CA 61 \$121,349,503 45 CA 62 \$120,886,775 68 CA 63 \$119,197,835 23 CA 64 \$117,321,812 51 CA 65 \$114,565,235 37 CA 66 \$113,647,794	CA 48 \$150,805,750 43 WA 49 \$147,994,430 73 CA 50 \$141,547,542 107 CA 51 \$140,418,900 60 CA 52 \$136,908,913 55 MA 53 \$128,951,715 53 CA 54 \$127,805,950 59 CT 55 \$126,125,100 82 CA 56 \$124,026,700 92 TX 57 \$122,649,105 27 CA 58 \$122,343,225 93 FL 59 \$121,874,750 57 CA 60 \$121,504,856 95 CA 61 \$121,349,503 45 CA 62 \$120,886,775 68 CA 63 \$119,197,835 23 CA 64 \$117,321,812 51 CA 65 \$114,565,235 37 CA 66 \$112,039,391	CA 48 \$150,805,750 43 129,497,444 WA 49 \$147,994,430 73 67,679,626 CA 50 \$141,547,542 107 44,926,125 CA 51 \$140,418,900 60 88,044,025 CA 52 \$136,908,913 55 100,838,401 MA 53 \$128,951,715 53 106,346,105 CA 54 \$127,805,950 59 88,619,320 CT 55 \$126,125,100 82 58,386,108 CA 56 \$124,026,700 92 53,592,500 TX 57 \$122,649,105 27 160,189,437 CA 58 \$122,343,225 93 52,434,588 FL 59 \$121,874,750 57 100,699,500 CA 60 \$121,504,856 95 51,658,000 CA 61 \$121,349,503 45 122,334,224 CA 62 \$120,886,775 68 70,714,2

Kihei	HI	71	\$104,820,000	46	122,002,800	-14 %
Annapolis	MD	72	\$101,283,697	78	65,826,200	54 %
Wilmette	[L	73	\$100,955,000	75	67,129,000	50 %
Sherman Oaks	CA	74	\$97,058,240	110	43,356,000	124 %
Granite Bay	CA	75	\$96,843,959	81	59,727,631	62 %

TOP 50 PRICES PAID FOR INDIVIDUAL LUXURY HOMES SOLD BY COLDWELL BANKER SALES ASSOCIATES JANUARY 1 to DECEMBER 31, 2004
Source: Coldwell Banker Real Estate Corporation